

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,640 | 08/28/2003 | Yoshinori Nakagawa | 01272.020624 | 5555 | |
| 5514 | 7590 08/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRI | CK CELLA HARPER & | TRAN, LY T | | | |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NEW TORKS, IVI TOTTE | | | 2853 | 2853 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/649,640 | NAKAGAWA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Ly T. TRAN | 2853 | | |

| zororo aro i ming or arr rippour ziror | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Ly T. TRAN | 2853 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ado | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. Ir |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | - | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | (DTOL 004) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,9 and 10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the company of the comp | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | at door NOT place the confication i | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | at does inOT place the application I | n condition for allowa | nce pecause: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| | | STEPHEN MEIER | MINER |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation of claims 1 and 10 would require further consideration and/or search..